XPS: Real-Time Scheduling of Parallel Tasks

Motivation: Real-Time Systems Are Everywhere

- Real-time tasks are tasks with *deadlines*. Job 1 release
- They arise when computation interacts with physical world.
- Modern real-time applications are more computationally intensive and have tighter deadlines.

(SITE +> ZELM



Stochastic Parallel Real-Time Tasks

If the task parameters have variation from one instance to another, we can model them as random variables





Ŏ.2

We generalized federated scheduling for this setting

and proved the capacity augmentation bound of 2 [2].

Route planning program in a self-driving car Kim et al. [ICCPS 13]

> **Real-Time Hybrid Simulation** Ferry et al. [EMSOFT 14]

> > $(m+1)\varepsilon$

Goal: Parallel Real-Time Systems

Execution time C_i **Critical-path length** *L_i* Utilization $u_i = C_i / D_i$

- Develop theoretical foundations and system implementations to support parallel real-time tasks.
- Task model: Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG).
- Nodes represent a sequence of instructions and edges represents dependences between nodes.

 $D_i - \mathcal{E}$

 $\rightarrow \rightarrow \bullet \bullet$

Challenges: Analyze Interference of DAG Tasks

• Dhall's effect: There exist tasks which miss

Mixed-Criticality Parallel Tasks

Average

Execution Time

Worst-Case

Execution Time

In autonomous cars, GPS navigation system has lower criticality than braking systems, yet both coexist in one "mixed-criticality" system.

0.3 0.4 0.5 0.560.625 0.714 0.833

Percentage of Utilization

• In normal state, we want to schedule both tasks based on their nominal workload, while in safety-critical state we need to guarantee braking system can still meet its deadline even with the pessimistic worst-case workload.

their deadline on *m* cores even though

their utilizations are very close to 1.

- A task can generate jobs with different DAG structures.
- Different DAG structures have different interferences.
- It is computationally expensive to calculate interference of a DAG.

Theoretical Bounds

- Resource augmentation bound of b: If an ideal scheduler can schedule a task set on *m* cores of speed 1, then scheduler *S* can schedule that task set on *m* cores of speed *b*
- Capacity augmentation bound of b: If a task set has total utilization of at most *m/b*, and each task has its critical-path length of at most 1/b of its deadline, then scheduler S that can schedule the task set on m cores.

Global Earliest Deadline First (GEDF) and Federated Scheduling (FS) and Federated Scheduling (FS)

	Scheduling Algorithm	Resource Bound	Capacity Bound	ask S
GEDF	Global scheduler. ————————————————————————————————————	approximately 2	approximately 2.6	
[1.5]	A job can execute	(upper & lower	(upper & lower	0.6 n

Mixed-criticality federated scheduling algorithm has a

capacity augmentation bound of 3.732 [6].

Work In Progress and Future Work

- Handling resource contention and critical sections.
- Providing soft real-time guarantees. e.g. tardiness.
- Analyzing and scheduling aperiodic tasks